

Slide 25

OK, let's keep the outcome counting for the time being.

Please avoid the zooming function of the bars. It overly complicates the functions while everything can be easily selected from the dropdown menus.

Please remember to avoid overlaps of global and inter-regional labels with the map.

The three icons on the side seem to allow to scroll down. Wouldn't this be a repetition, as this function would already be performed by the icons on the top and/or the tabs in the blue ribbon?

In any case, they should be more visible, either in terms of colour or position in the page (centre or on top, as people are used to clicking on the right top of the page). One possibility would be to make the large icons currently on the top of the drop down menus "clickable". What do you think?

Considering this together with what you said for slide 27: maybe we should let the top items of the "fast navigation" do this action. Meaning clicking there will scroll the page down to the right section (Map section, Donors section, Programme Area section). The thing that I thought might be confusing was that users will end up in the middle of a page instead of at the top, but maybe that isn't such a big deal. Doing this allows us to remove the icons on the left side, which will give a cleaner/simpler appeal. Maybe we can also make the larger icons on top of the map clickable, but that doesn't make much sense for region/country. What do you think?

NB: the blue ribbon includes: Partners, Regions, Programme areas, while the 3 icons: Partners, Countries, Outcomes. Any chance we can align this to avoid confusion?

The reason I used Partners, Countries and Outcomes for the icons is because it counts how many of those units are in the selected category. So "123 Countries in 7 Regions" and "67 countries for African Region in 6 Subregions", etc. This wouldn't work when using "Regions" as title. So then I would suggest to change the fast navigations into "Partners", "Countries" and "Outcomes". Should we do that?

Slide 26

There is a consensus to switch to light grey for the map colour, keeping the relevant colour code for the bubbles. The colouring of the relevant region in the map when hovering with the mouse was also preferred.

A nicer solution for the inter-regional and global dots would need to be found in order to integrate them better.

The colour chosen for Asia (orange) does not seem to be the same as the corporate one (light green).

Let's go for a grey map for now with the side note that this is not officially agreed on. When in the end INFOTEC can not agree on it, we may need to switch back to blue after all.

The colours for the region I copied from the presentation of Optima, apparently this was not correct... Where can I find the official region colours?

Slide 27

Why would that be confusing?

Slide 28

The link to the country page should not be presented as a separate link box. The title "Tunisia" itself should contain the hyperlink and open in a separate window to allow for easy return to the dashboard.

The same could be applied to regions.

I'm not sure if making that title the link would feel intuitive. On other pages it shows "123 Countries" on the same spot, so for regions we cannot use it that way. What is in fact the problem with having a separate link? Should it be more subtle perhaps...?

Slide 29

If this graph button allows us to obtain more rapid data and to have an overview of trends quicker, it would be ok. Could you perhaps propose alternative transitions to this graph?

Change "Amount" of projects to "Number" of projects;

Slide 30

The abbreviation "SDG" will do.

It should be possible to pick more than one.

The "active filters" and the "project finder" bars look very similar, possibly generating some confusion. Can we simplify this somehow?

Slide 31

The legend on the top of the page is better. However, I still think one should not need a legend. The colour coding should be intuitive and guide the user in the interpretation of the data.

As the filters are on the left side, shouldn't the title "project finder" be inside the blue bar? The two actions of clicking on "project finder" or on the blue arrow on the left bring to similar results, better integration would be welcome.

The Active filters in the summary page and the project finder is in fact the same thing. There are two small differences: in the project finder there are a couple extra options and in the project finder the bar is opened by default.

Searching something is simply filtering the data to limit the results. You can do this by drilling down on the map, or by adding a query. It's the same thing. Having one single form for showing and controlling these filters is preferred in my opinion. Filters also work as navigation in the dashboard, the fast navigation for example sets a filter (for example: country=bangladesh) and shows the results in one of three forms: a visual summary, a list of projects (project finder), a table of number (data&export). You can switch between these forms while staying in the filter for Bangladesh.

You can see the dashboard as a single page, the summary, project finder and data & export are perhaps more like tabs. You can toggle between them, but the filters will remain the same on the page.

Partially the DC Dashboard already functions that way, but maybe the Evaluation Dashboard gives you a better idea. There you can toggle between "map" and "list". The filters remain the same, the section on the left is slightly updated to offer the right tools for the current "tab".

When you are in the summary page and click on project finder, what happens is that the visualizations on the page are removed, while a list of projects is rendered. At the same time the filter bar will slide out (if not already active) to offer easy access to filter the projects. It really is the same filter bar. Some extra options that are being added to it on the fly.

I hope that makes some sense..?

NB: another small difference is that the filter bar will not slide on top of the project list, instead the list will shrink so you will see everything while the filters are also visible.

Slide 32

The DC code appears both under title and in the box on the right side. Maybe there is no need to include it in the title.

I would add total budget in the box, as well as start and end date.

Replace "evaluation documents" with "Project documentation" as we may want to keep it more general. WCMS content could well fit into this category as clickable links.