

## DC Dashboard Design - Comments on Screens

**General:** We noted that there was a lot of scrolling down to visualise everything. The 3 visuals on the summary page (map, partners, outcomes) could automatically scroll when linking on the tabs on the general menu. we were thinking whether to add also a direct link to “Partners” and “Outcomes” on the icons themselves;

[I try to improve on this.](#)

### Screen 1:

- Counting of Outcomes in this (full overview) view does not make much sense. It makes sense to count Outcomes from the geographic view (number of outcomes per country, sub-region or region). Shall we remove the counting for Outcomes and Programme Areas at this top level but leave text “Outcomes” and “Programme Areas” and keep it in the geographic view?;

[The counting happens in all three columns, leaving one out seems a bit strange to me. We can also leave all the counting \(including countries and donors\)...?](#)

- Move “inter regional” below global, as it currently overlaps with Latin America creating confusion [I will try take that into account when developing.](#)
- Under Development Partners - Top contributors table replace “contributors” with “partners”; [No problem](#)
- Question: does Total Budget and Total Expenditure correspond to the grand total of the project or the total for the year (2016)? [The total is for 2016 \(the active year selected\). When you change the year to 2015 this ranking will change. If you change the dimension below the list to Expenditure, it will show expenditures and the ranking might also change.](#)

### Screen 2:

- Please do not provide a dropdown list of other data dashboards; [No problem, this is for later concern anyway. But it would be good to start thinking \(and discuss within the departments\) about ways to inter-connect the dashboards.](#)

### Screens 4 and 5:

- We do not want the introduction stories here; [No problem, we will leave it out. Note that this will make the top pages for Donor/Region/Programme Area identical \(apart from the page title\). I will continue to create some new options at this point.](#)

### Screen 6:

- Concerning the summary page for a country, we would like to have a link to the ILO country page for that country (it could be a link in the country name for instance); [I think we can do that. How is that link formatted? Or is it stored somewhere...?](#)
- Top contributors in 2016 – please replace “contributors” with “partners”; idem where this table is in other screens;

### Screen 8:

- We like this;

### Screens 9-13:

- OK;
- Question: does the drop down menu “show” only display the budget and expenditure option for the list of projects in the “Programme Areas” version? [We can decide, but my initially thought was to offer all three options \(budget, expenditure, projects\) everywhere.](#)

#### Screen 14:

- Add a filter on the left for SDGs; [Yes, good.](#)

#### Screen 15:

- Move the region legend to the top and compress; [Ok, I will try and see what comes out](#)
- Display the total budget and total expenditure in the search result/project list, not the yearly one (rename the heading accordingly). [Yes, I'll do this](#)

#### Screen 16:

- Remove the Iris Project Number;
- Remove the Status – Active as this is also in the green box above;
- Remove Means of Action;
- Change “Admin Unit” to read “Office”;
- Move the development partner up to the top section;
- Switch the time graphic with the Budget on:
- Spend should read “Spent”;
- Reduce the size of the Executive Summary to show less lines;
- Add direct link to evaluation report(s) (from i-Track eval discovery dashboard)

[All fine, I will change it](#)

Add a section to display relevant content from WCMS or other files to be pulled from the DashBoard.

[Yes, good idea. Can you give me some idea what this content would look like for an average project? How many files, are they structures, what details do we have on each file, etc.](#)

#### Screen 19:

- If we have to use the original blue map would it be possible to give the colours more weight to the regions by including the labels as well? Another suggestion would be to make the continent lines in that colour too; Or use the grey map instead of the blue one. It looks less heavy and less distracting.  
[I can experiment a bit more and send some options, you then can decide internally what is acceptable or not.](#)

#### Screen 20:

- We would need to test this first once we have an interactive version to see if we like it or would this be too labour intensive? The filter option on top also facilitates

selection of small values.;

I think that's a good idea. As long as you like the idea of these bar charts we can go on with it and build a first prototype. This will help to find the best (most usable) option.

#### Screen 21:

- Remove the yellow circle "Means of Action"; Good
- Noted that the Budget blue colour has been corrected to show the same as the graph colour, which is not the case in screen 16; Yes, indeed it was
- Ok for addition of percentages:
- The flags may create more problems of "political" nature (and increase the maintenance burden) than they offer visual enhancements.; Good point

Altogether can we move on with this design or do you feel we should explore other options first? If so, can you provide some feedback on what this alternative option should offer different from the current design.